

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 and 12-29 are pending in the application. These claims stand rejected.

The Specification is objected for not having a reference to the parent application from which this application is a continuation. In response, Applicant has amended the application as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 1-10 and 12-29 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 10-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,695,207. In response, Applicants hereby enclose a Terminal Disclaimer with the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) to overcome this rejection.

As a result of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests an allowance of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

By: _____

Kelly K. Kordzik
Reg. No. 36,571

P.O. Box 50784
Dallas, Texas 75201
(512) 370-2851

Austin_1\268674\1
41687-P001C1 12/10/2004